

KINGSTON

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1743

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

H 04945000095

EXAMINER

SODERQUIST, A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

IM62/0504

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ARNOLD B SILVERMAN ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT 600 GRANT ST 42ND FL PITTSBURGH PA 15219

FILING DATE

01/29/98

APPLICATION NO.

09/015,469

DATE MAILED: 05/04/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)
	09/015469 Kingstan Examiner
	Soderquist 1743
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
ri d for Response	マ
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MOI ys, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered to default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus	
\angle Responsive to communication(s) filed on $6-15$	-98 + 10-13-98
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	opt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
pisp sition of Claims	
FClaim(s) $I-33$	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration
□ Claim(s)	
$2^{2} \text{Claim(s)} \qquad 1 = 33$	
	requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	-
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	**
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.
The energialization is abjected to but the Fuernines	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been hber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun ☐ received in this national stage application from the least technique.)	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been her) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun ☐ received in this national stage application from the least technique.)	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been her) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the least opening the series *Certified copies not received: 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been her) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/015,469

Art Unit: 1743

1. Claims 1 - 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: each species is spiked with a different isotope enriched spike as found on page 22, line 19 to page 23, line 3.

- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 4 there are 4 equations with the symbols used not being completely defined for three of the equations.
- 3. Claims 1 33 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a method as claimed in which the result is corrected for species conversion and incomplete separation through a deconvolution method as claimed.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art of record relates to species determinations using mass spectrometry.
- 5. The information disclosure statement filed June 15, 1998 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. There were no references in the application when it reached the examiner. Reference made of record are those obtained in examiner's own search plus the two references submitted with the supplemental IDS filed October 13, 1998.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arlen Soderquist whose telephone number is (703) 308-3989. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718 for Official papers prior to mailing of a final Office action, (703) 305-3599 for Official papers after mailing of a final Office action, or (703) 305-7719 for unofficial or draft papers. The above fax numbers will allow the papers to be forwarded to the examiner in a timely manner.

Application/Control Number: 09/015,469

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

April 26, 1999

ARLEN SODERQUIST PRIMARY EXAMINER